Comprehensive Cannabis Analysis: Pesticides, Aflatoxins, Terpenes, and High Linear Dynamic Range Potency from One Extract Using One Column and One Solvent System
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Increased legalization of Cannabis for medical and adult use in the United States and Canada substantiates the need for robust and reproducible methods for analysis of Cannabis products for consumer health and safety. The state of Oregon released its list of pesticides and action limits required for products in 2015, with several states since adopting this or modified versions¹. Some pesticides on this list have been historically monitored by GC-MS requiring complicated sample preparation with derivatization and relatively long sample run times. Additionally, quantitation of aflatoxins and terpenes are increasingly demanded.

The SCIEX vMethod™ Application demonstrates the capability of the SCIEX Triple Quad™ or QTRAP® 6500+ system in meeting the maximum residual levels (MRLs) for the full suite of pesticides comprising the Oregon Pesticide List in Cannabis flower matrix, and typical potency assessment through cannabinoid quantitation. In order to perform comprehensive testing of Cannabis products, four compounds classes (pesticides, cannabinoids, aflatoxins and terpenes) were measured using a novel high LDR potency analysis strategy (Figure 1) in flower samples, using a single sample preparation protocol and two sample injections.

**Key Advantages of Comprehensive Cannabis Analysis**

- The SCIEX vMethod application for Quantitation of Pesticide Residues in Cannabis Matrices presents a simplified sample preparation protocol complete with analysis of all 59 compounds using electrospray ionization (ESI) and LC-MS/MS². A 16 minute gradient maximizes separation of endogenous isobaric matrix interferences for pesticide and aflatoxin analyses.

- Additionally, the method can be used to analyze ten cannabinoids and six terpenes from the same sample extract using a seven-minute acquisition method utilizing atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI). This single method can be used to determine potency from product cannabinoid concentrations between 0.03-90%, provide baseline separation of isobaric cannabinoids, and separate terpene isomers to assess the Cannabis flavor profile.

**Figure 1. High LDR Potency Analysis Strategies Employed for Three Example Cannabinoids.** Sample concentration is expected to be below 1% for cannabinoids requiring detuning. All concentrations of cannabinoids are listed as effective concentrations pre-dilution. Calibration range is 10 ppb-30 ppm in vial.
**Experimental**

*Extraction:* Samples were extracted into acetonitrile according to the modified vMethod™ protocol (Figure 2). No further sample cleanup was performed, although additional dilution was used for potency and terpene analysis.

*HPLC Conditions:* Analytes from all compound classes were separated on a Phenomenex Kinetex 2.6 µm Biphenyl LC Column (150 x 4.6 mm) using a SCIEX ExionLC™ AD system, with mobile phases consisting of A) Water + 5 mM ammonium acetate + 0.1% formic acid and B) Methanol:Water (98:2) + 5 mM ammonium acetate. Pesticides and aflatoxins can be separated concurrently in a 16 minute gradient, while cannabinoids and terpenes can be separated concurrently in a seven minute gradient.

*MS Conditions:* All compounds were analyzed using a SCIEX QTRAP® 6500+ system with Scheduled MRM™ Algorithm (Analyst® software 1.6.3). Pesticides and aflatoxins were analyzed using electrospray ionization (ESI) in positive polarity with the following source settings: ISV = 5500 V, TEM = 450 ºC, CUR = 35 psi, CAD = 11, GS1 = 80 psi, GS2 = 70 psi. Terpenes and cannabinoids were analyzed using atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) in positive polarity with the following source settings: NC = 1 µA, TEM = 625 ºC, CUR = 35 psi, CAD = 11, GS1 = 37 psi.

**Pesticides and Aflatoxins by ESI(+)**

The 59 OR list pesticides include multiple highly polar compounds which can be difficult to retain using C18 column chemistry. The Kinetex biphenyl column improves retention of such compounds (eg. acephate, daminozide) while also providing improved separation of target analytes from isobaric matrix interferences (Figure 3). Cannabis flower samples, with variation observed between strains, typically exhibit an endogenous background signal for pyrethrin-like compounds, separation of which from target pyrethrins is critical for quantitation.

![Figure 3: Improved Chromatographic Separation.](image)

Some states, including California, regulate or have proposed regulation of Aflatoxin residues in Cannabis. Action levels defined for aflatoxins are well below those outlined for most pesticides and quantitation in the parts per trillion range is necessary. Four target aflatoxins were monitored in the same acquisition method as the pesticides. Two transitions of each were included in the ESI+ data collection with the pesticide suite, using the same prepared sample and solvent system. Excellent linearity and precision were demonstrated for all targets. Cannabis flower action limits of 2ppb in plant correspond to 0.0133ppb in the injected sample. Chromatographic peaks at LOQs below this concentration (at 0.0125ppb) are clearly detectable (Figure 4).
Monitoring Aflatoxins

Calibration linearity, as well and precision and replicate (n=4) chromatographic peaks for aflatoxins at LOQ concentrations of 12.5 ppt.

- **Aflatoxin B1:** $r > 0.994$, $CV_{(n=4)} = 5.76\%$ at 12.5 ppt
- **Aflatoxin B2:** $r > 0.994$, $CV_{(n=4)} = 8.71\%$ at 12.5 ppt
- **Aflatoxin G1:** $r > 0.994$, $CV_{(n=4)} = 8.12\%$ at 12.5 ppt
- **Aflatoxin G2:** $r > 0.996$, $CV_{(n=4)} = 7.59\%$ at 12.5 ppt

**Figure 4. Monitoring Aflatoxins.** Calibration linearity, as well precision and replicate (n=4) chromatographic peaks for aflatoxins at LOQ concentrations of 12.5 ppt.

**High Linear Dynamic Range (LDR) Potency Analysis by APCI(+)**

Potency analysis involves quantitative reporting of cannabinoid compounds. Cannabinoid levels can differ vastly between cannabinoids in a single sample, but also across strain or product types, with products claiming concentrations 90%+ by weight for some compounds (i.e. THCA). High LDR Potency Analysis is a strategy to extend the range for cannabinoids quantitation from 0.05-100% by weight in a single analysis. The strategy utilizes dilution, alternative MRM transitions, and detuned instrument voltages.

**Dilution:** 1:200 dilution applied to the already 1:6 diluted sample extract used for pesticide/aflatoxin analysis. A 10ppb standard becomes equivalent to 0.03% concentration in extract, achieving quantitation at the low end. Additional calibration standards up to 33ppm (equivalent of 99% in sample) extend quantitation to the high end range.

**Alternative transitions:** Multiple MRM transitions can be monitored for each cannabinoid compound, and some transitions are significantly more sensitive than others (Figure 1). More sensitive transitions can be used for low end cannabinoid quantitation, and less sensitive transitions can be used to avoid saturation and achieve quantitation at the high end.

**Detuned transitions:** Declustering Potential (DP) and/or Collision Energy (CE) voltages are adjusted to non-optimized values, decreasing the sensitivity for transitions corresponding to high concentration cannabinoids in order to avoid detector saturation at the high end of calibration.

Application of these strategies to extend quantitative concentration range of cannabinoids of very different endogenous concentrations during product potency analysis was demonstrated effective. In Figure 1 above, three examples are shown: in the sample flower matrix tested, THC is shown to be measurable within the concentration range of the calibration curve for the primary, optimized MRM transition. No further adjustment to the data processing is necessary. THCA, present at a higher concentration in the sample, requires the use of an alternative (less sensitive) transition for processing in order to keep signal in the calibration range. In a third example, the high concentration of THCV necessitates further adjustment in utilization of the detuned (further decreased sensitivity) MRM transitions to achieve a signal within the calibration range (Figure 1).

These strategies combined with an appropriate calibration curve range spanning relevant concentration ranges allow for potency analysis with a single sample preparation and acquisition method. Including all alternative and detuned transitions in the acquisition method provides the flexibility in data processing to choose the transitions for quantitation that are suitable for the individual sample or scenario. A decision tree (Figure 5) outlines the process for deciding when to use each strategy during post-acquisition processing. Table 1 details the achievable linear quantitation range for each target cannabinoid.
At least 200 terpenes have been identified in Cannabis, with unique strains presenting varying terpene profiles, which contribute to distinct flavor and aroma. Ability to quantify relevant terpenes in cannabis products is highly desirable and increasingly demanded by both growers and consumers.

Challenges posed by LC-MS/MS analysis of terpenes include poor ionization by electrospray mode, which can be overcome by instead switching to APCI by easily swapping the probe on the QTRAP 6500+ system. Chromatographic separation is also crucial, as the majority of relevant terpenes are structural isomers which produce identical MRM transitions. Separation and quantitation of six cannabis-relevant terpenes was achieved on the biphenyl column over 7 minutes, in the same acquisition as the cannabinoid analysis (Figure 6).

**Table 1. Linearity and Quantitation Range Achieved for Individual Cannabinoid Compounds during Assessment of Product Potency.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Cal Range 1</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Cal Range 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THC</td>
<td>0.03-90%</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THCA</td>
<td>0.03-30%</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>3.6-90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>0.03-90%</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBDA</td>
<td>0.03-3.6%</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>3.6-90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBG</td>
<td>0.03-90%</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBGA</td>
<td>0.03-9%</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>9-90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBN</td>
<td>0.03-90%</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBC</td>
<td>0.03-30%</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.15-90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBDV</td>
<td>0.03-30%</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THCV</td>
<td>0.03-30%</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quantitation of the terpene suite was achieved over a calibration range of 10 ppb – 1 ppm in the Cannabis flower matrix with excellent precision and reproducibility (%CV values <5%).
Summary

The SCIEX vMethod is verified for extraction of Cannabis flower and concentrate and subsequent analysis for Oregon mandated pesticides and potency. Additional work is also presented showing quantitation and characterization of a comprehensive suite of residues and active ingredients— including pesticides, aflatoxins, cannabinoids, and terpenes— using a single extraction protocol, mass spectrometer, and LC separation configuration. These compounds can all be analyzed by two acquisition methods: one which monitors pesticides and aflatoxins, and the other monitoring terpenes and cannabinoids.

Pesticides: LOQs were established in both solvent as well as extracted cannabis flower. LOQ’s in cannabis flower were achieved with ±20 %CV for all pesticides on the Oregon list. It was observed that there were many differences in the nature and extent of matrix interference between cannabis flower strains. However, during development, ten different matrix strains were analyzed and the target transitions were found to be chromatographically separated from endogenous interferences in 9 of the tested strains.

Aflatoxins: Sensitive and precise quantitation of four commonly targeted aflatoxins is achieved to ppt levels in the same data acquisition as the pesticide method with no additional processing requirements.

Potency (Cannabinoids): High linear dynamic range quantitation of the cannabinoid suite from 0.03%-90% concentration by weight was achieved using a combination of dilution, monitoring alternative MRM transitions, and detuning instrument voltages for MRM transitions. These transitions were monitored in the same acquisition method as the terpenes.

Terpenes: Using APCI allows for the ionization of these flavor and aroma compounds. Chromatographic separation allows the distinction between structural isomers. Precise and accurate quantitation using the same acquisition method as the cannabinoids is demonstrated.
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