

A Robust cIEF Method: Intermediate Precision for the pH 5-7 Range

Ingrid D. Cruzado-Park, Scott Mack and Chitra K. Ratnayake
SCIEX, Brea, CA USA

Introduction

Optimization of the numerous variables associated with Capillary Isoelectric Focusing (cIEF) allows for the ability to obtain highly resolved, reproducible separations (SCIEX Application Information Bulletin¹ A-11634A). Taking key assay elements into consideration, a cIEF separation method was developed using mouse IgG1 κ as model protein. Narrow-range ampholytes 5-8 were used for maximum resolution and urea was used as a solubilizer to prevent protein precipitation and aggregation during focusing. The high resolution obtained by the cIEF separation method was able to differentiate the distribution of charge heterogeneity between different commercial batches of IgG1 κ . This separation was tested for reproducibility and robustness by performing an intermediate precision study with five instruments, three operators and different sets of reagents. Results showed a high level of reproducibility for cIEF following careful optimization of key experimental parameters including sample preparation, separation temperature and control sample solubility.

Experimental

Instrumentation and Conditions

All experiments were performed using the PA 800 Plus Pharmaceutical Analysis System equipped with a UV detector and a 280 nm filter. All separations were carried out using a Neutral Capillary (SCIEX, p/n 477441), which was 30.2 cm long and 20 cm from inlet to detector. An aperture of 200 μ m was used in the capillary cartridge. The capillary temperature was maintained at 20° C in all separations, unless otherwise specified. Chemical mobilization was used in all cIEF experiments and voltage was applied in normal polarity.

Chemical Reagents

DDI water was obtained from an E-pure[®] deionizer (Barnstead Thermolyne, Dubuque, IA). Pharmalyte[®] 5-8 carrier ampholytes (p/n 17-0453-01) were purchased from GEHealthcare Bio-Sciences AB (Uppsala, Sweden). Synthetic peptides were manufactured and used as peptide pI markers² (Table 1).



The PA 800 Plus Pharmaceutical Analysis System

Each peptide solution was 1.25 mM in DDI water. Peptide solutions were aliquoted in 0.5 mL volumes and stored at -20° C until needed. During use, the peptide solutions can be stored at 2-8° C for up to 4 months.

The following solutions were prepared and used in all cIEF experiments. These solutions were stored at room temperature, unless indicated otherwise, and discarded 30 days after their preparation date.

Peptide	pI Value
Trp-Glu-His-Arg	7.0
Trp-Glu-His-His	6.7
Trp-Glu-His	5.5

Table 1. List of synthetic peptide pI markers and their pI values.

Anolyte: The anolyte consisted of a 200 mM phosphoric acid solution. It was prepared by diluting 685 μ L of 85% phosphoric acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, p/n 343245) to a final volume of 50.0 mL with DDI water.

Catholyte: The catholyte consisted of a 300 mM sodium hydroxide solution. It was prepared by dissolving 0.60 g of sodium hydroxide (J.T.Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ, p/n 3722-11) in DDI water to a final volume of 50.0 mL.

Chemical Mobilizing Solution: This solution consisted of 350 mM acetic acid. It was prepared by diluting 1.0 mL of glacial acetic acid (Sigma, p/n A6283) with DDI water to a final volume of 50.0 mL.

Cathodic Stabilizer: The cathodic stabilizer consists of a 0.5 M arginine solution. It was prepared by dissolving 0.87 g of arginine (Sigma, p/n A5006) in 10.0 mL of DDI water.

Anodic Stabilizer: The anodic stabilizer consisted of a 0.2 M iminodiacetic acid (IDA, Sigma, p/n 220,000) solution. It was prepared by dissolving 0.27 g of IDA in 10.0 mL of DDI water.

Capillary Cleaning Solution: This solution consisted of 4.3 M urea in DDI water. It was prepared by mixing 10.8 g of urea (Sigma, p/n U0631) in 30.0 mL of DDI water. The solid material was dissolved by vortexing it for at least 15 min. This solution was stored at 2-8° C to minimize urea degradation.

Urea-cIEF Solution: Solutions with known molar concentrations of urea were prepared by dissolving the required amount of urea (Sigma, p/n U0631) to a final volume of 10.0 mL using cIEF gel (SCIEX, p/n 477497). The urea-cIEF gel solutions were stored at 2-8° C to minimize urea degradation.

Desalting the IgG Sample

Three different commercial lots of mouse IgG1k (Sigma, p/n M9269) were buffer exchanged with 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0 buffer before cIEF. A 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0 buffer was prepared by diluting 4.0 mL of 50 mM Tris buffer, pH 8.0 (SCIEX, p/n 477427) to a final volume of 10.0 mL with DDI water. The IgG1k sample (each vial contains 1 mL at a concentration of 1.1 mg/mL) was thawed at room temperature. Next 500 µL of IgG sample was placed into a Microcon® Centrifugal Filter Device YM-30 (p/n 42410, Millipore, Bedford, MA).

Immediately the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 RCF for 5 min in a Microfuge™ 18 (Beckman Coulter). The flow-through was discarded when necessary. Next, 250 µL of Tris buffer were added into each Microcon device and the samples were again centrifuged at 12,000 rcf for 10 min. Again, 250 µL of Tris buffer were added into each Microcon device and the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 rcf for 3 min. Finally, 200 µL of Tris buffer were added into each Microcon device and centrifuged for 5 min at 12,000 rcf. The volume inside each Microcon filter was collected by placing the inverted Microcon filters into new centrifuge tubes and centrifuging at 2,000 rcf for 3 min. The volume collected was measured with a pipet and used to calculate the IgG concentration in the desalted sample,

which was adjusted to 5.0 mg/mL. Finally, the IgG sample was aliquoted in 5.0 µL volumes and stored at -20° C until use.

cIEF Methods

The following three 32 Karat™ Software methods were used:


- Capillary Conditioning – conditions the Neutral Capillary at the start of each working day.
- cIEF 5-8 Separation – performs the cIEF separation of IgG1k in the pH 5-8 range.
- Shutdown – rinses the capillary before storage and turns off the UV lamp.

All three methods use the same initial conditions (Fig. 1) and UV Detector Settings (Fig. 2). In summary, the detector was set to direct absorbance at 280 nm with a collection rate of 2 Hz. The electronic filter of the detector was set to normal with a peak width of 16-25 points. All separations were performed at a capillary temperature of 20° C, unless indicated otherwise. The system autosampler was set to a temperature of 10°C. The trigger settings for the cartridge coolant and the sample storage were checked in to ensure the system is in equilibrium before use. The maximum electrical current was set to 20 µA. The auxiliary data channel corresponding to the electrical current was checked in, in order to save its data with its corresponding UV trace.

Each method has a different time program, as explained next.

Capillary Conditioning Method. The Neutral Capillary was conditioned with the following 50 psi rinses: DDI water for 2 min, chemical mobilizing solution for 2 min and cIEF gel for 5 min. The rinses were performed in the forward direction and collected in an empty vial used as waste. The method ended by submerging both capillary ends in DDI water.

cIEF 5-8 Separation Method. The cIEF separation method started by performing two 50 psi rinses in the forward direction: capillary cleaning solution for 3 min and then DDI water for 2 min. The mixture of sample, ampholytes and pI markers was introduced into the capillary by performing a 99.9 sec injection at 25 psi. Immediately, both capillary ends were cleaned by submerging both capillary ends in DDI water for a few seconds. Focusing was performed at 25 kV for 5 min under normal polarity with the inlet side of the capillary submerged in anolyte and the outlet side submerged in catholyte. Chemical mobilization was carried out at 30 kV for 30 min under normal polarity, with the inlet side of the capillary submerged in anolyte and the outlet side in chemical mobilizer. The voltage ramp of 0.17 min was



Initial Conditions | UV Detector Initial Conditions | Time Program

Auxiliary data channels

☐ Voltage max: 30.0 kV

☒ Current max: 20.0 μ A

☐ Power

☐ Pressure

Mobility channels

☐ Mobility

☐ Apparent Mobility

☒ Plot trace after voltage ramp

Analog output scaling

Factor: 1

Temperature

Cartridge: 20.0 $^{\circ}$ C

Sample storage: 10.0 $^{\circ}$ C

Peak detect parameters

Threshold: 2

Peak width: 9

Trigger settings

☐ Wait for external trigger

☒ Wait until cartridge coolant temperature is reached

☒ Wait until sample storage temperature is reached

Inlet trays

Buffer: 36 vials


Sample: 48 vials

Outlet trays

Buffer: 36 vials

Sample: No tray

Figure 1. Initial conditions in Capillary Conditioning, cIEF 5-8 Separation, and Shutdown methods.



Initial Conditions | UV Detector Initial Conditions | Time Program

Electropherogram channel

☒ Acquisition enabled

Wavelength: 280 nm

Data rate: 2 Hz

Filter

☐ High sensitivity

☒ Normal

☐ High resolution

Peak width (points): 16-25

Relay 1

☒ Off

☐ On

Relay 2

☒ Off

☐ On

Absorbance signal

☒ Direct

☐ Indirect

Figure 2. UV detector settings in Capillary Conditioning, cIEF 5-8 Separation, and Shutdown methods.

used for both focusing and mobilization steps. At the end of the mobilization step, the data collection was stopped, the capillary was rinsed with DDI water for 2 min at 50 psi, and then both capillary ends were submerged in DDI water. The vials used in the separation method were incremented every three consecutive runs to minimize carry over.

Shutdown Method The shutdown method consisted of a 2 min rinse with DDI water followed by a 10 min rinse with cIEF gel. Both rinses were carried out at 50 psi in the forward direction. The UV lamp was turned off at the end of the final rinse.

The configuration of the buffer trays is shown in Fig. 3. The universal vials (SCIEX, p/n A62251) were filled with 1.5 mL of the indicated cIEF reagent and placed at the specified location on the buffer trays. Must use 0.8 mL ddH₂O in waste vial for waste and all vials were capped using universal caps (SCIEX). Arrows in the buffer tray configuration indicate vials that are incremented every three consecutive runs as programmed in the cIEF 5-8 Separation method. All cIEF separations were repeated at least three times to determine reproducibility of the results.

Preparation of cIEF Samples

Samples were prepared by mixing the following reagents at the volume ratios indicated in Table 2: urea-cIEF gel solution, Pharmalyte 5-8, cathodic stabilizer, anodic stabilizer, peptide pI markers (Table 1), and desalted mouse IgG1k. Samples were mixed by vortexing. A 200 µL volume of cIEF sample mixture was transferred to a PCR vial (Beckman Coulter, p/n 144709), which was placed in PCR vial holder (Beckman Coulter, p/n 144657) equipped with a micro vial spring (Beckman Coulter, p/n 358821) and then capped with a gray cap (Beckman Coulter, p/n 144656). The cIEF samples were placed at the inlet sample tray and analyzed the same day.

Results and Discussion

Accurate Pipetting Increases Robustness

The cIEF step most susceptible to errors is sample preparation because it requires mixing microliter volumes of multiple reagents (cIEF gel, ampholytes, sample, pI markers and stabilizers). Even minor errors in pipetting can lead to significant differences in both qualitative and quantitative aspects of the cIEF separation and can be exacerbated especially when measuring small volumes, such as 2.0 µL. The preparation of large-volume mixtures, referred to as a master mix, can help in prevention of this error (Table 2).

Mix the master mix completely by repeated aspiration using a pipet. It is critical that all reagents are mixed evenly throughout the sample to ensure maximum reproducibility. Vortexing may not be sufficient to overcome differences in density of the various reagents.

Differences Between Commercial Lots of IgG1k

Three commercial lots of mouse IgG1k were separated by cIEF under identical experimental conditions using 3.0 M urea in the sample and a capillary separation temperature of 20°C (Fig. 4). Each commercial lot yielded a unique cIEF IgG profile due to its charge heterogeneity. The effects of urea concentration and separation temperature in the cIEF separation were examined using IgG commercial lots #1 and #2, respectively. IgG commercial lot #3 was chosen to study the reproducibility of the cIEF method due to the simplicity of its profile.

Optimization of the Urea Concentration in the cIEF Sample

Urea retains solubility and helps prevent aggregation of proteins as they concentrate at their pI during focusing. Because of the intrinsic variability of proteins, optimal concentration of urea in the sample ultimately depends on the solubility of the protein to be analyzed. The cIEF profile of mouse IgG1k lot #1 was evaluated using different urea concentrations (Fig. 5). In an environment lacking urea, the separation profile for IgG1k is poorly defined, presumably due to its low solubility. In contrast, the IgG isoforms are clearly defined when using 1.5 and 3.0 M urea. At 4.5 and 6.0 M urea, the IgG profile degrades, possibly due to protein denaturation caused by high urea concentration. Further analysis indicates that the pI values of the IgG sample were unaffected by urea concentration (Table 3).

Multiple separations were carried out using 1.5 and 3.0 M urea to determine which concentration yielded the best reproducibility for the IgG profile. The optimum concentration of urea for IgG1k was determined to be 3.0 M because it yielded the lowest variability of IgG peak area composition.³ Since the ionic products associated with the thermal degradation of urea are known to be detrimental to the cIEF separation,⁴ the samples were stored at 10° C in the PA 800 Plus system to minimize urea degradation.




Figure 3. Buffer tray configuration for Capillary Conditioning, cIEF 5-8 Separation, and Shutdown methods. “Cap. Clean Sol.” stands for capillary cleaning solution, and “Chem. Mob.” for chemical mobilizing solution.

Reagent	Volume per sample (μL)	Number of samples	Total volume to be measured (μL)
cIEF gel	200	X <u>5</u> =	<u>1,000</u>
Pharmalyte 5-8	6.0	X <u>5</u> =	<u>30.0</u>
Cathodic Stabilizer	9.0	X <u>5</u> =	<u>45.0</u>
Anodic Stabilizer	5.0	X <u>5</u> =	<u>25.0</u>
pI 7.0 marker	2.0	X <u>5</u> =	<u>10.0</u>
pI 6.7 marker	2.0	X <u>5</u> =	<u>10.0</u>
pI 5.5 marker	2.0	X <u>5</u> =	<u>10.0</u>

Table 2. Example of the preparation of a cIEF master mix for four samples of mouse IgG1κ using Pharmalyte 5-8. Enter the number of required samples plus one (no less than 3) and multiply each reagent volume by it. In this example, the number of samples is four plus one, which equals to 5. Next, prepare each IgG sample by mixing 226 μL of cIEF master mix with 20 μL of desalted IgG1κ (5 mg/mL). The master mix can be stored at 2-8° C and is usually discarded at the end of the working day.

Effect of Temperature on cIEF

Separation temperature has a significant effect on the cIEF resolution of IgG1κ (Fig. 6). The IgG peaks D, E, and F were less resolved when the temperature was 25° C and above. However, IgG peak A was more defined at temperatures above 15° C.

Overall detection time decreased with increasing temperature, thus compressing the pH gradient and resulting in loss of resolution. These data illustrate the importance of temperature control in obtaining reproducible separation profiles.




Figure 4. The cIEF separation of different commercial lots of mouse IgG1 κ under identical separation conditions: (A) lot #1, (B) lot #2, and (C) lot #3. Data was aligned and stretched with respect to the detection times of the pI 7.0 and 5.5 markers for easy comparison.




Figure 5. The cIEF separation of mouse IgG1 κ from commercial lot #1 at different urea concentrations in cIEF gel. Sample: cIEF master mix using 10.0 μ L of mouse IgG1 κ (5 mg/mL). IgG peaks are labeled A, B, C, and D.

[Urea] (M)	pI value of IgG Peak A	pI value of IgG Peak B	pI value of IgG Peak C	pI value of IgG Peak D
0.0	6.61	6.45	6.33	6.28
1.5	6.58	6.47	6.38	6.30
3.0	6.53	6.47	6.37	6.30
4.5	6.54	6.47	6.38	6.30
6.0	6.54	6.46	6.38	6.30

Table 1. The pI values of the IgG1k peaks (A, B, C, and D) indicated on Fig. 5 at different urea concentrations. The pI values of the IgG isoforms were calculated using a linear relationship between detection time and the theoretical pI values of the peptide pI markers.

Reproducibility of cIEF Method

The cIEF method was tested for reproducibility by performing seven sets of six consecutive runs ($n=42$) of the same desalted IgG1k sample using five different instruments and three analysts utilizing different cIEF chemical reagents and different lots of Neutral Capillary over a one week period. Each set of cIEF runs provided IgG profiles of high reproducibility (Fig. 7). The IgG profile was divided into four major peaks (Fig. 8). Table 4 summarizes reproducibility of the cIEF separations in regards to

peak migration time, pI value, and IgG peak area composition (peak area %). Minimal fluctuation in peak profile and migration time was observed within each set of runs (Fig. 7). The standard deviation in pI was less than 0.01 pH units. The standard deviation in IgG peak area composition was less than 1.5 %. In conclusion, these data demonstrate the robustness of this cIEF method and chemistry, yielding high reproducibility in terms of pI values and IgG composition.




Figure 6. The cIEF separation of mouse IgG1k from commercial lot #2 at different separation temperatures. IgG peaks are labeled A – F.




Figure 7. Sets (A) and (B), each with six consecutive cIEF separations of mouse IgG1k using the same desalted IgG (commercial lot #3) sample and cIEF separation method. Each set was performed using a different PA 800 Plus system, operator, reagent solutions and Neutral Capillary lot.




Figure 8. Overlay of six consecutive cIEF separations of mouse IgG1k lot #3 from set (A), Fig. 7. Data was aligned with respect to the detection time of the pI 7.0 marker. IgG charge isoforms were grouped into four main peaks, which are labeled A, B, C, and D.

	Time (min)	Time (min)	Time (min)
Peak	Mean	Std Dev	%RSD
pI 7.0	16.632	0.571	3.435
pI 6.7	18.239	0.513	2.815
IgG a	20.227	0.471	2.329
IgG b	20.916	0.437	2.090
IgG c	21.454	0.426	1.985
IgG d	22.021	0.396	1.800
pI 5.5	27.530	0.341	1.239

	pl exp	pl exp	pl exp
Peak	Mean	Std Dev	%RSD
pI 7.0	6.957	0.008	0.115
pI 6.7	6.741	0.007	0.103
IgG a	6.473	0.007	0.114
IgG b	6.381	0.007	0.115
IgG c	6.308	0.007	0.117
IgG d	6.232	0.009	0.152
pI 5.5	5.492	0.009	0.158

	%Area	%Area	%Area
Peak	Mean	Std Dev	%RSD
IgG a	16.34%	0.59%	3.61
IgG b	31.80%	1.10%	3.46
IgG c	29.58%	0.85%	2.89
IgG d	22.28%	1.25%	5.63

Table 4. Average detection times, pI values and peak area percent composition from intermediate precision study (n=42) of the cIEF separation of mouse IgG1k lot #3 sample.

Conclusions

The results achieved in this study are a demonstration of the high level of robustness of this cIEF chemistry. The cIEF method developed for IgG1k can be utilized for the separation of therapeutic IgG molecules in the pH 5-7 range. Critical factors to consider while pressing on for maximum reproducibility are concentration of urea within the sample, capillary temperature during the separation, and accurate pipetting and uniform mixing during sample preparation. A clear understanding of these variables are critical in the development and validation of new cIEF methods.

Reference

1. Cruzado-Park, I.D., Mack, S., Ratnayake, C.K. "Identification of System Parameters Critical for High-Performance cIEF," SCIEX Application Information Bulletin, 2008. PN A-11634A.
2. Shimura, K., Wang, Z., Matsumoto, H., Kasai, K. *Electrophoresis* 21, 603 (2000).
3. Mack, S., Cruzado-Park, I.D., Liu, L., Wang, H., Ratnayake, C.K. "The Effects of Urea Concentration on cIEF Analysis of IgG1κ and Other Proteins," poster presented at CASSS CE Pharma 2007 meeting.
4. Righetti, P.G. *J. Chromatogr. B* 841, 14 (2006)

Who is SCIEX? SCIEX company's global leadership and world-class service and support in the capillary electrophoresis and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry industry have made it a trusted partner to thousands of the scientists and lab analysts worldwide who are focused on basic research, drug discovery and development, food and environmental testing, forensics and clinical research.

Contact Us: [sciex.com/contact-us](https://www.sciex.com/contact-us)

Headquarters

500 Old Connecticut Path, Framingham, MA 01701, USA
Phone 508-383-7800
[sciex.com](https://www.sciex.com)

International Sales

For our office locations please call the division headquarters or refer to our website at
[sciex.com/offices](https://www.sciex.com/offices)